

REMARKS

Claims 11-16 are now pending in the above-referenced application, and these claims stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,269,303 to Watanabe et al. Reconsideration of the rejection is requested for the following reasons.

In order to reject a claim under 35 U.S.C. § 102, the Office must demonstrate that each and every limitation is identically disclosed in a single prior art reference. See Scripps Clinic & Research Foundation v. Genentech, Inc., 18 U.S.P.Q.2d 1001, 1010 (Fed.Cir. 1991). "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the claim." M.P.E.P. § 2131.

Independent claim 11 of the present invention recites a navigation card for use with a key card reader of a radio receiver of a navigation system to calculate a route for a transportation device from one location to a navigation destination, which navigation card includes a memory that stores at least one navigation destination for transmission to the navigation system, and audio data assigned to the at least one navigation destination.

The Watanabe reference describes a vehicle navigation system which, on the basis of map data contained in a memory, calculates a driving route from one location to a destination input by the user, and subsequently guides the user to the destination along the calculated driving route by outputting, among other information, audio driving instructions. (See e.g., Watanabe, Abstract). The map data required for this purpose, and the audio data from which the driving instructions are generated, are stored in a memory 3 ("information memory device 3"). (See e.g., Watanabe, column 3, lines 17-19; column 4, lines 54-60; and, in particular, column 5, lines 19-24). For inputting a destination, and furthermore, for outputting the driving instructions, the described vehicle navigation system includes an input and output unit 1. (Watanabe, column 1, lines 53-67). A speech-recognition device, for example, may be a component of input unit 1, so that a destination may be input by the user by voice input. (Watanabe, column 4, lines 1-2). Furthermore, input unit 1 may also include a chart reader that enables data to be read off a chip or magnetic strip card. (Watanabe, column 4, lines 2-3). Additionally, Watanabe indicates that the data written on the chip card is either street chart (map) data or destination data (column 4, lines 9-10), and is user-specific (column 4, lines 7-10).

The chip card according to Watanabe enables one skilled in the art to conveniently program preferred route segments for the route calculation, or destination data. This is enabled by the chip card on which these data have previously been stored, e.g., using a

PC, so that the data may now be transferred from the chip card into the vehicle navigation system, using the chip-card reader. However, there is no disclosure or suggestion in Watanabe for **audio data** to be stored on the chip card. Rather, the chip card according to Watanabe is used solely for destination programming and for programming preferred route segments.

In contrast, one of the objectives of the present invention is to provide a navigation card so as to supply to the vehicle navigation system audio information assigned to the destination, in addition to the destination data. Since Watanabe does not disclose (or even suggest) this claimed feature, it is respectfully submitted that claim 11, and claim 12 which depends from claim 11, are not anticipated by Watanabe.

Since independent claim 13 recites features analogous to those discussed above with respect to claim 11, it is respectfully submitted that claim 13, and claims 14 and 15 which depend from claim 13, are not anticipated by Watanabe.

Likewise, independent claim 16 recites features analogous to those discussed above with respect to claim 11, and therefore claim 16 is also not anticipated by Watanabe.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claim 11-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) is therefore respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of the present application is new, non-obvious, and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 11/18, 2003

By: for Richard L. Mayer (by John L. Mayer)
Richard L. Mayer
Reg. No. 22,490
K 1000.
36,197)
KENYON & KENYON
One Broadway
New York, NY 10004
(212) 425-7200